UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,550	09/24/2004	Patrick S. McMonagle	030848-00026	5549
	7590 05/30/200 N ALLEN PLLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1370	6	FU, HAO		
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3696	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Applicant(s)	
MCMONAGLE ET AL.	
Art Unit	
3696	

	HAO FU	3696	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 May 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wind AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content.	sideration and/or search (see NO ⁻ v); er form for appeal by materially red	ΓE below); ducing or simplifying tl	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.174 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	l6 and 41.33(a)). 1. See attached Notice of Non-Co		PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	ided below or appended.		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail: ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatior REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but		•	
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:		. Sometion for anowall	or bookings.
/THOMAS A DIXON/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3696	/Hao Fu/ Examiner, Art Unit 3696		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added feature, "a single file includes the check images", requires further considerationg and search.

In remark filed on 05/16/2008, the applicant argues that Reyes is not properly combinable with Cahill and Craig. Applicant reasons that Cahill and Craig are related to paper checks and the check clearing process, and Reyes is directed to retail merchant system making use of electronic document templates to process smart card enabled payments in a way that mimics the use of checks. The exmainer disagree. The abstract of Reyes clearly teaches "a computerized method for effecting payment by a check", and paragraph 0008 states that Reyes' invention is "a computerized method for effecting payment by a check includes generating an electronic image of a check drawing on a checking account of an account holder on an output device". Reyes is clearly a analogous art to Cahill and Craig. Furthermore, the main reason Reyes is cited is to show the centralized storage system. Paragraph 0036 of Reyes clearly teaches a shared archive facility, where the image replacement document is stored and used to access by various banks. The examiner confirms that the combination of Cahill, Craig, and Reyes is proper.